		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	narv	10/509,499	STOCKBOWER, DAVID
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Matthew O. Savage	1724
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Matthew O. Savage</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Timothy J. King</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>27 April 2006</u>		Time:	
	☐ Applica	ant's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
1-5, 11, and 14-16			
Prior art documents discussed: Ardes, of record.			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENEI	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The separate r	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		100 and 100 an	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claim 4 to specify the key as having at least two attachment elements attached to the bowl so that the filter cartridge, the key, and the bowl could be detached from the manifold as one piece to patently distinguish over Ardes. Agreed to amend claim 5 to specify "prongs extending from said key which fit over a lip of a collar on said bowl" in order to agreed with the structural arrangement shown in FIG. 8 of the drawings. Agreed to cancel non-elected claims 1-3, 11, and 16. Agreed to cancel claims 14 and 15 since claims 4 and 5, from which claims 14 and 15 depended, failed to specify the recited "flanges" specified in claims 14 and 15. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.